FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20463

FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT

1		MUR:	6095
2		Date Complaint Filed:	October 15, 2008
3		Date of Notification:	October 17, 2008
4		Date of Last Response:	December 8, 2008
4 5		Date Activated:	<u>January</u> 5, 2009
6		Desiration of Statute	l
7 8		Expiration of Statute of Limitations:	October 2, 2012
9		of laminations:	October 3, 2013
10	COMPLAINANT:	Stanken Franks	
11	COMPLAINANT:	Stephen Franks	
12	RESPONDENTS:	McCain-Palin Victory	Fund 2008 and Lisa Lisker, in
13		her official capacity	
14		Republican National C	
15		•	official capacity as treasurer
16		Michigan Republican	
17			official capacity as treasurer
18			State Committee-Federal and
19			his official capacity as treasurer
20		Ohio Republican Part	y State Central and Executive
21			Brown, in her official capacity
22		as treasurer	•
23			ommittee of Pennsylvania and
24		•	er official capacity as treasurer
25		_	ance Fund, Inc. and Joseph
26		Schmuckler, in his	official capacity as treasurer
27			
28	RELEVANT STATUTES		
29	AND REGULATIONS:	2 U.S.C. § 441d	
30		11 C.F.R. § 102.17(c)	(2)
31 32		11 C.F.R. § 9003.3	
32 33	INTERNAL DEBORTS CHECKEN.	Disalassa Basada	
33 34	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports	
35	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
33	PEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None	
36	I. INTRODUCTION		
37	The complaint alleges that then-Presidential candidate John McCain, who had agreed to		
38	accept public funding for the general election and therefore could not solicit or accept		

MUR 6095 First General Counsel's Report Page 2

contributions for his general election campaign, violated the Federal election laws by soliciting 1 2 \$5,000 in funds for his general election campaign from the complainant. Complainant's 3 allegations are based on a fundraising letter and response form that he received in the mail and 4 provided with the complaint. The letter is headed "John McCain" and signed by him. It solicits 5 contributions of \$100, \$250, \$500, \$1,000 or \$5,000 for McCain-Palin Victory 2008, and states at the bottom of the first page that it was paid for by that entity. According to the complaint, the 6 7 solicitation "buried" a paragraph stating that \$2,300 of any donation would be used for legal and 8 accounting costs incurred in the campaign, but the "entire tenor of the letter conveyed the 9 urgency of soliciting funds for the McCain-Palin Committee." Complaint at 1. The complaint also states that the information concerning how the funds would be distributed on the response 10 11 form is displayed in such a way "that the recipient of the request from John McCain would not 12 be aware of the disposition of the funds." Id. at 2. Thus, the complaint concludes that the 13 mailing "is misleading, deceptive and fraudulent in that it leads recipients to believe that by the 14 mailing that they are contributing to" the McCain-Palin presidential campaign. Id. 15 The McCain-Palin Victory Fund 2008 ("MPV 2008") is a joint fundraising committee 16 established under 11 C.F.R. § 102.17. It is comprised of the Republican National Committee, 17 Michigan Republican Party, the Missouri Republican State Committee-Federal, the Ohio 18 Republican Party Central and Executive Committee, the Republican Federal Committee of 19 Pennsylvania and the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund. 20 MPV 2008 responded to the complaint on behalf of all the committees comprising the 21 joint fundraising committee. It states that the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund is a legal and 22 accounting fund established in conformity with 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3 and authorized by Senator 23 John McCain. According to the response, the fundraising letter and response form included all

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MUR 6095 First General Counsel's Report Page 3

- 1 of the sponsorship, joint fundraising and compliance fund disclaimers mandated by federal law.
- 2 In addition, the response points out that MPV 2008, as a joint fundraising committee which
- 3 includes an authorized committee of a candidate, is required to include the name "McCain Palin"
- 4 in its name. See Response at 2.
 - As set forth below, MPV 2008's fundraising letter and response form met the joint fundraising notification requirements mandated by federal law. However, because a compliance fund was one of the participants in the joint fundraising, the fund should have ensured that the mailing included language clearly stating that federal law prohibits private contributions to McCain-Palin Compliance Fund from being used for the candidate's election, as required by 11 C.F.R. §9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A). Nevertheless, it appears that the letter and response form contained sufficient information such that recipients would understand the sponsorship and distribution of the funds solicited. Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the complaint in this matter as to the McCain-Plain Compliance Fund, Inc. and Joseph Schmuckler, in his official capacity as treasurer. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). We also recommend that the Commission find no reason to believe that McCain-Palin Victory Fund 2008 and Lisa Lisker, in her official capacity as treasurer, the Republican National Committee and Tim Johnson, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Michigan Republican Party and Carl Meyers, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Missouri State Republican Committee-Federal and Richard Peerson, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Ohio Republican Party State Central and Executive Committee and Sara Brown, in her official capacity as treasurer, and the Republican Federal Committee of Pennsylvania and Patricia Poprik in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A).

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

2	A. Notification Requirements Applicable to Joint Fundraisers
3	Political committees that engage in joint fundraising must comply with the procedures set
4	forth in 11 C.F.R. § 102.17(c), including providing a fundraising notice with every solicitation
5	for contributions. The fundraising notice should include the names of all committees
6	participating in the joint fundraising activity, the allocation formula to be used for distributing
7	joint fundraising proceeds, a statement informing contributors that, notwithstanding the formula,
8	they may designate their contributions for a particular participant and a statement that the
9	formula may change if a contributor makes a contribution which would exceed the amount that
10	the contributor may give to any participant. See 11 C.F.R. § 102.17(c)(2).
11	In the fundraising letter at issue, MPV 2008 stated that it paid for the communication, and
12	identified the committees making up the joint fundraising committee at the bottom of the first
13	page of the fundraising letter and at the bottom of the response form. MPV 2008 set forth the
14	allocation formula in the response form, stating that "[u]nless a contribution would exceed
15	federal limits or a contributor designates otherwise," the first \$28,500 will go to the Republican
16	National Committee, the next portion will be divided evenly between the Michigan, Missouri,
17	Ohio and Pennsylvania state parties' federal accounts up to a maximum of \$9,250 each and the
18	final \$2,300 will go the Compliance Fund. Thus, it appears that MPV 2008's fundraising notice
19	met the requirements of 11 C.F.R. § 102.17(c)(2).
20	B. Solicitation Requirements Applicable to GELAC Funds
21	A major party candidate may receive public financing for his or her general election
22	campaign by complying with certain requirements. To be eligible to receive payments for
23	general election financing from the Presidential Election Campaign Fund, each Presidential and

MUR 6095 First General Counsel's Report Page 5

Vice Presidential candidate of a major political party shall, under penalty of perjury, certify to 1 2 the Commission that no contributions have been or will be accepted by the candidate for his or 3 her authorized committees except as contributions specifically solicited for, and deposited to, the candidate's legal and accounting compliance fund established under 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a), or 4 5 except to the extent to make up for any deficiency in payments received from the Fund. 6 11 C.F.R. § 9003.2. A major party candidate may accept contributions to a general election legal 7 and accounting compliance fund ("GELAC") if such contributions are received and disbursed in 8 accordance with 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3. 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i). "All solicitations for contributions to the GELAC shall clearly state that Federal law prohibits private contributions 9 from being used for the candidate's election and that contributions will be used solely for legal 10 11 and accounting services to ensure compliance with Federal law." 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A). 12 The fundraising letter states that MPV 2008 includes the "McCain-Palin Compliance 13 Fund, to which federal election laws permit you to contribute up to \$2,300 to help offset the 14 McCain-Palin 2008 campaign's legal and accounting costs incurred during the campaign." The 15 response form further states that contributions to the Compliance Fund will be used "solely for 16 legal and accounting services to ensure compliance with federal law and not for campaign 17 activities." However, neither MPV 2008's letter nor the response form clearly state, with respect 18 to the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund, that Federal law prohibits private contributions from 19 being used for the candidate's election, as required by 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A). Despite 20 this omission, there is sufficient information to enable recipients to determine that the mailing 21 sought funds for McCain-Palin Victory 2008, a joint fundraising committee in which the 22 McCain-Palin Compliance Fund was only one participant, and not to Senator McCain's general 23 election campaign for President, and that the funds distributed to the compliance fund will be

23

MUR 6095 First General Counsel's Report Page 6

used only for GELAC expenses. The letter references the general need to elect Republican 1 2 candidates in races all across the country, contains the specific notifications identifying all the 3 joint fundraising participants, specifies the allocation formula, and includes language describing how the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund will use any proceeds it receives. Moreover, the 4 5 mailing instructs recipients to write their checks to "McCain-Palin Victory 2008" or to submit 6 their donations online at "McCainPalinVictory2008.com/win," and as noted previously. 7 disclaimers identified all of the participants in McCain-Palin Victory 2008. 8 Accordingly, because the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund did not ensure the mailing met 9 all the GELAC solicitation requirements, but the mailing otherwise adequately informed 10 recipients it sought funds for MPV 2008, and not for Senator McCain's general election 11 campaign for President, we recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion 12 and dismiss the complaint as to McCain-Palin Compliance Fund, Inc. and Joseph Schmuckler, in 13 his official capacity as treasurer, and include a caution in the closing letter. See Heckler v. 14 Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985). In the letter, we plan to remind McCain-Palin Compliance Fund 15 that 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A) requires GELACs to clearly state that Federal law prohibits 16 private contributions from being used for the candidate's election in fundraising solicitations. 17 In addition, because the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund will be audited, we plan to include 18 language in the letter that the Commission's dismissal of this matter and the corresponding 19 portion of the Factual and Legal Analysis relate only to the allegation in the complaint in MUR. 20 6095 and involves only this particular solicitation by MPV 2008. 21

We are not aware of any prior matters involving joint fundraising committees that include a compliance fund where the solicitation met all of the requirements under 11 C.F.R.

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

MUR 6095 First General Counsel's Report Page 7

- 1 § 102.17(c) for joint fundraising committees, but failed to include all of the required notifications
- 2 for solicitations to the GELAC under 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A). However, because the
- 3 McCain-Palin Compliance Fund is subject to the requirements for GELAC solicitations, not
- 4 MPV 2008 or its other participants, we recommend that the Commission find no reason to
- 5 believe that McCain-Palin Victory 2008 and Lisa Lisker, in her official capacity as treasurer, the
- 6 Republican National Committee and Tim Johnson, in his official capacity as treasurer, the
- 7 Michigan Republican Party and Carl Meyers, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Missouri
- 8 State Republican Committee-Federal and Richard Peerson, in his official capacity as treasurer,
- 9 the Ohio Republican Party State Central and Executive Committee and Sara Brown, in her
- 10 official capacity as treasurer, and the Republican Federal Committee of Pennsylvania and
- Patricia Poprik, in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A).
- 12 Finally, we recommend that the Commission close the file.

13 III. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Dismiss the complaint as to the McCain-Palin Compliance Fund, Inc. and Joseph Schmuckler, in his official capacity as treasurer, and send a cautionary letter.
- 2. Find no reason to believe that McCain-Palin Victory Fund 2008 and Lisa Lisker, in her official capacity as treasurer, the Republican National Committee and Tim Johnson, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Michigan Republican Party and Carl Meyers, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Missouri State Republican Committee-Federal and Richard Peerson, in his official capacity as treasurer, the Ohio Republican Party State Central and Executive Committee and Sara Brown, in her official capacity as treasurer, the Republican Federal Committee of Pennsylvania and Patricia Poprik in her official capacity as treasurer, violated 11 C.F.R. § 9003.3(a)(1)(i)(A).
- 2. Approve the attached Factual and Legal Analysis.
- 3. Approve the appropriate letter.

1 _	5/5/09		
2 E	ate	_	
3 4			
5 6			
6			
7			
8			
9 10			
11			
12 13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		Į	

Thomas	your Bum
	mia P. Duncan

Ann Marie Terzaken

Associate General Counsel for Enforcement

Susan L. Lebeaux

Assistant General Counsel

Delbert K. Rigsby

Attorney